6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

SENSITIV <b>E</b> eder	AL ELECTION COMMISSION
	999 E Street, NW
	Washington, DC 20463

CELA

RECEINCTION COMMISSION

## FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

RAD REFERRAL 13L-02R DATE RECEIVED: March 26, 2013 DATE ACTIVATED: June 24, 2013

EARLIEST SOL: July 31, 2016 LATEST SOL: July 31, 2016 ELECTION CYCLE: 2012

SOURCE: Internally Generated

**RESPONDENT:** California Real Estate Political Action

Committee/Federal – California Association of Realtors and M. Iqbal Bholat in his official

capacity as treasurer (f/k/a California Real Estate

Political Action Committee/Federal)<sup>1</sup>

**RELEVANT STATUTES:** 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)-(b)

11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a) 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a) 11 C.F.R. § 111.43

INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: Disclosure Reports

FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None

## I. INTRODUCTION

The California Real Estate Political Action Committee/Federal – California Association of Realtors and M. Iqbal Bholat in his official capacity as treasurer ("Committee") was referred to the Office of General Counsel ("OGC") for possible enforcement action after disclosing additional receipts of S363,223.69, which were not disclosed on its original 2011 Mid-Year

At the time of the referral, California Real Estate Political Action Committee/Federal was the name of the committee. On June 12, 2013, it filed an amended Statement of Organization indicating that its name had been

Report. In response, the Committee acknowledges that it was necessary to amend the report, but

changed to California Real Estate Political Action Committee/Federal - California Association of Realtors. See Amended Statement of Organization (June 12, 2013),

http://guery.nictusa.com/pdf/468/13962852468/13962852468.pdf#naypanes=0.

8

16

17

18

19

20

states that the omission was caused by problems it encountered during its transition to a new

2 software program, and requests that the Commission close this matter without any further action.

Based on the available information, we recommend that the Commission open a matter

4 under review ("MUR") in connection with RR13L-02R and find reason to believe that the

5 Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) by failing to accurately disclose receipts. Additionally,

we recommend that the Commission enter into pre-probable cause conciliation with the

7 Committee

## II. FACTS

The Committee is the separate segregated fund of the California Association of Realtors, a trade association. See Amended Statement of Organization (June 12, 2013).<sup>2</sup> On July 31, 2011, the Committee timely filed its 2011 Mid-Year Report covering the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011, which disclosed \$423,972.79 in total contributions received. See 2011 Mid-Year Rpt. at 3 (July 31, 2011).<sup>3</sup> Six-months later, the Committee filed an amended 2011 Mid-Year Report on January 31, 2012, which disclosed additional contributions received totaling \$363,223.69. See Amended Mid-Year Rpt. at 3 (Jan. 31, 2012).<sup>4</sup>

On March 26, 2012, the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") sent the Committee a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI"), requesting clarification regarding the substantial increase in receipts that were not disclosed in the original filing. RR13L-02 at 2 (California Real Estate Political Action Committee/Federal). Responding to the RFAI, the Committee filed a Miscellaneous Document ("Form 99") on April 27, 2012, stating that:

See <a href="http://guery.nictusa.com/pdf/468/13962852468/13962852468.pdf=navpanes=0">http://guery.nictusa.com/pdf/468/13962852468/pdf=navpanes=0</a>.

<sup>3</sup> See http://guery.nictusa.com/pdf.555/11931531555/11931531555.pdf=naypanes=0.

See <a href="http://guery.nictusa.com/pdf/859/12950395859/12950395859.pdf=navpanes=0">http://guery.nictusa.com/pdf/859/12950395859/12950395859.pdf=navpanes=0</a>.

In 2011, [the Committee] started using a fundraising database managed by the National Association of Realtors (NAR) and encountered problems during the transition to the new software program. Some online contributions were not posted by NAR until several months after the original report was filed. In addition, other contributions were incorrectly allocated in the database due to a programming error in splitting the contributions. After NAR fixed the formula, there was a substantial increase in contributions allocated to [the Committee], which was reflected in the amended report.

ld.

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 RAD referred the Committee to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office ("ADRO") for 13 failing to disclose additional receipts totaling \$363,223.69. See Memorandum from Debbie 14 Chacona, Asst. Staff Director, RAD, FEC, to Lynn Fraser, Director ADRO, FEC (Feb. 1, 2013) ("Referral").5 On March 26, 2013, ADRO informed OGC that counsel for the Committee stated 15 16 that the Committee did not wish to resolve the RAD referral through ADRO, so ADRO transferred the matter to OGC. E-mail from Lynn Fraser, Director, ADRO, FEC, to Jeff Jordan, 17 Supervisory Att'y, CELA, FEC (Mar. 26, 2013, 02:49 EST). Thereafter, OGC notified the 18 19 Committee about this matter on March 28, 2013. Letter from Jeff S. Jordan, Supervisory Att'y, CELA, FEC to M. Iqbal Bholat, Treasurer of the Committee (Mar. 28, 2013); see also Agency 20 21 Procedure for Notice to Respondents in Non-Complaint Generated Matters, 74 Fed. Reg. 38,617 22 (Aug. 4, 2009).

RAD referred this matter to ADRO pursuant to Reports Analysis Division Review and Referral Procedures for Unauthorized and Authorized Committees for 2011-2012 Election Cycle at 74 (the "Referral Procedures") (approved by Comm'n Apr. 5, 2011). Standard 7 of those procedures states that a case will be referred to ADRO when (i) an amendment or amendments are filed at least business days after the original report was filed (or after the report due date, whichever is latest); (ii) the amendment or amendments disclose an increase or decrease of in receipts, disbursements, or debts; and (iii) for an election-sensitive report, the increase or decrease more than and less than or equal to or for a non-election-sensitive report, the increase or is in excess of decrease is in excess of and less than or equal to . Id. In this matter, the increased activity was \$363,223.69, which constitutes an increase in receipts, and occurred on a non-election-sensitive report.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

In response to the notification, the Committee maintains that the Commission should close the matter without any further action. See Letter from Cary Davidson, Legal Counsel for the Committee, to Jeff S. Jordan, Supervisory Att'y, FEC at 2 (May 24, 2013) ("Resp."). The Committee acknowledges that it needed to amend its report, but states that the Committee engaged in virtually no financial activity between the initial and amended filings, and the omitted amount of receipts did not impact the Committee's use of funds. Id. at 1. According to the response, other than small fundraising and administrative expenses, the Committee's only expenditure each year is a transfer to its affiliated separate segregated fund, which is not based on the Committee's account balance or receipts. Id. The Committee also asserts that it reported the receipts that were identified in its database, but due to a programming error, the applicable software failed to record many of the contributions to the Committee; those contributions were, as a result, omitted from the Committee's data file used to prepare its original 2011 Mid-Year Report, Id. at 2. Although the data problem was discovered at the time the original 2011 Mid-Year Report was filed, the Committee states that the problem could not be corrected until the database was reprogrammed. Id. The Committee amended its report after its database was reprogrammed and the Committee was confident that the revised figures were accurate. Id. The situation, the Committee states, is unique, and not likely to be repeated. Id.

## III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

i	III. LEGAL ANALYSIS
2	The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), requires committee
3	treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of
4	2 U.S.C. § 434. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a). These reports must include, inter
5	alia, the total amount of reccipts, including the appropriate itemizations, where required.
6	2 U.S.C. § 434(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a).
7	Here, the Committee did not comply with the Act's reporting requirements when it failed
8	to disclose a total of \$363,233.69 in receipts on its original 2011 Mid-Year Report. See Resp. at
9	1-2. We therefore recommend the Commission find reason to believe that the Committee violated
10	2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

<b>3</b> 7	RECOMMENDATION	10
•	REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	

- 10 1. Open a MUR.
- Find reason to believe that California Real Estate Political Action
  Committee/Federal California Association of Realtors and M. Iqbal Bholat in
  his official capacity as treasurer violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).
- 14 3. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.
- Enter into conciliation with California Real Estate Political Action
   Committee/Federal California Association of Realtors and M. Igbal Bholat in
   his official capacity as treasurer prior to a finding of probable cause to believe.
- 18 5.

19

20

21

	l	6.	Approve the appropriate letter.		
	2 3 4				Daniel A. Petalas Associate General Counsel
	5 6 7 8	8-21-	-13	BY:	Kathleen Guith
	9 10 11	isute			Deputy Associate General Counsel
	12 13 14				Susan L. Lebeaux
	15 16				Assistant General Counsel
l	17 18 19				Delbent K. Rigsly
	20 21				Delbert K. Rigsby Attorney
	22 23				